

## **Ask the Lawyer: When is Wrongful Conduct Not Prejudicial to Good Order and Discipline?**

By Mathew Tully

Q. When is wrongful conduct not prejudicial to good order and discipline in violation of the General Article?

A.

The conduct prejudicial clause is one of three on which an Article 134 violation can be based. Conduct of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces is another clause. The Manual for Courts-Martial acknowledges that the conduct prejudicial clause may seem broad because [a]most any irregular or improper act on the part of a member of the military service could be regarded as prejudicial in some indirect or remote sense. Conduct prejudicial, however, excludes these distant effects and is more concerned with disorders and neglects that are reasonably direct and palpable.

For anyone facing an Article 134 charge for conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline, what qualifies as indirect and remote?" Generally, indirectly prejudicial conduct is not obvious. It should not have any measurably divisive effect on unit or organization discipline, morale, or cohesion. Further, it should not negatively affect the respect for a service member or his or her stature, according to the Manual.

The case of U.S. v. Jonsson (2009) sheds some light on this indirectly prejudicial question. This case involved a Coast Guard boatswains mate second class who was married and had an affair with a subordinate. He pleaded guilty to, among other things, adultery in violation of Article 134. Due to the fact that no one outside of the Coast Guard knew of the affair, the Article 134 charge could not be based on the service-discrediting clause, the court noted. That left the charge hinging on the conduct prejudicial clause. The U.S. Coast Guard Court of Criminal Appeals said the government failed to establish a link between the admitted conduct and effect on good order and discipline. Only a handful of people in the boatswains mates unit knew of the affair, meaning there was a likelihood that no detrimental reaction to the relationship could occur. Ultimately, the court found the guilty plea to adultery to be improvident and it set aside and dismissed the charge.

Service members charged with any offense under the General Article for conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline should immediately consult with a military law attorney. Depending on the circumstances, a lawyer could help the service member fight the charge by showing their conduct was not prejudicial to good order and discipline or that it was indirectly prejudicial and remote.

“Great Customer Service” – C.R.

"Great customer service, thoroughly explained all aspects of my case. Thank you." - C.R.

“Cannot Thank You Enough” – R.S. and C.S.

"I cannot thank you enough for all that you did for us." - R.S. and C.S.

&#8220;Great Service&#8221; &#8211; E.S.

I want to thank you all for the great service rendered [to] myself and family. - E.S. on Client Relations Attorney Derrick Hogan

#### Legal Disclaimer

The results of all client matters depend on a variety of factors unique to each matter. past successes do not predict or guarantee future successes.

“High Regard” – R.E.M.

“Your firm held my best interests with high regard... I thank you for your efforts.” - R.E.M.

“Prompt and Efficient” – K.B.

“Prompt and efficient in processing claim, all correspondence handled in prompt and efficient manner.” – K.B.

“Impressed” – W.W.

“As a retired heavy user of attorneys, I’m impressed with your concerns and your efforts to stay in touch with your clients. Its damned good management aligned with top notch expertise.” – W.W.

“Excellent Service” – J.R.

“Excellent service, very professional, and understanding and considerate of clients needs. Attorney was very approachable and there was a very good comfort level.” – J.R.

“Professional & Informative” – J.H.

“Professional & informative... I was pleased with the handling of the case. I was treated as a person, and kept abreast of all aspects of the case. Thank you all.” – J.H.

“Thanks So Much” – J.D.

“Without your Firm, I would not have known of my claim. Thanks so much!” – J.D.

“Gets Results” – F.P.

“Most certainly – The firm gets results!” – F.P.

“Quite Satisfied” – R.W.

“I am quite satisfied with the services your office has provided.” – R.W.

“Exceptional Legal Services” – A.S.

“Your firm, Tully Rinckey, has provided and continues to provide me with prompt, keen, exceptional legal services. After the initial consult, I felt relieved that I had the representation from Tully Rinckey... I have found a new found hope with Tully Rinckey...” – A.S.

“Absolute Best” – R.H.

“My attorney was the absolute best I could ever have hoped for. A pure professional in every sense of the word. She was very mindful of my financial expenditure and still was able to represent me very well in my court case.” – R.H.

“Outstanding Service” – A.R.

“Outstanding service, true professional.” – A.R.

“Top Notch” – V.W.

“My attorney was top notch. Very pleasant and helpful.” – V.W.

“Ideal Choice” – D.H.

“My attorney knew exactly the approach to take and was the ideal choice. She was extremely responsive. She was clear, balanced, and open to our views and feelings. She made it clear she was there for us and ready to answer questions.” – D.H.

“Very Satisfied” – D.D.

“I was very satisfied with the services provided which were completed in a very timely and professional manner.” – D.D.

“Excellent” – B.M.

“Your Firm provides excellent & free service to federal workers and NG/USAR members.” – B.M.