

## **Court Rules in Favor of National Guard Vet Fired by Postal Service**

A federal court on Feb. 28 ruled in favor of a veteran who was fired by the U.S. Postal Service after he had spent nearly six years on active National Guard duty.

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit found that the Merit Systems Protection Board had improperly ruled that Richard Erickson had abandoned his postal career and had waived his rights under the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act. The case will now be sent back to MSPB to be reconsidered.

If MSPB rules for Erickson, he could get his job as a distribution clerk back, and be eligible for more than \$1 million in back pay, benefits and attorney fees.

The key question of the case is whether Erickson had abandoned his job to serve in the military, since USERRA does not protect employees who leave their civilian jobs for military careers. The Postal Service fired Erickson in 2000 after he served several stints in the National Guard. Erickson allegedly told a Postal Service labor relations specialist that "he is staying in the military until his orders expire," according to a court filing. Erickson allegedly said "he likes the military and said that he did not like working for the [Postal Service]. He doesn't care for the way they treat their employees."

Although Erickson told MSPB that he never intended to quit and wanted to stay at the Postal Service, MSPB ruled that his statement — along with the length of his voluntary Guard service and his failure to contest his removal for five years — was evidence that he had abandoned his postal job.

The appeals court ruled that MSPB had not considered all the evidence in Erickson's case. For example, the court said that not all of Erickson's six years of service should have been counted toward USERRA's five-year service cap, after which a service member loses his re-employment rights. Erickson's attorney, Mathew Tully, said Erickson is a National Guard sergeant major in Army special forces and spent several years in training and serving overseas, none of which should have been counted toward the USERRA cap.

The court also ruled that Erickson's statement that he liked the military and not the Postal Service fell short of being a statement of intent that he planned to leave his civilian job.

"This is a man who spent years away from his family so that he could protect and serve his country," Tully said. Tully is a columnist for the Military Times newspapers, which are sister publications of Federal Times. "It is an absolute disgrace that my client has had to endure such hardships."

The Postal Service said it is reviewing the decision to decide if it will appeal.

“Great Customer Service” – C.R.

"Great customer service, thoroughly explained all aspects of my case. Thank you." - C.R.

“Cannot Thank You Enough” – R.S. and C.S.

"I cannot thank you enough for all that you did for us." - R.S. and C.S.

&#8220;Great Service&#8221; &#8211; E.S.

I want to thank you all for the great service rendered [to] myself and family. - E.S. on Client Relations Attorney Derrick Hogan

#### Legal Disclaimer

The results of all client matters depend on a variety of factors unique to each matter. past successes do not predict or guarantee future successes.

“High Regard” – R.E.M.

“Your firm held my best interests with high regard... I thank you for your efforts.” - R.E.M.

“Prompt and Efficient” – K.B.

“Prompt and efficient in processing claim, all correspondence handled in prompt and efficient manner.” – K.B.

“Impressed” – W.W.

“As a retired heavy user of attorneys, I'm impressed with your concerns and your efforts to stay in touch with your clients. Its damned good management aligned with top notch expertise.” – W.W.

“Excellent Service” – J.R.

“Excellent service, very professional, and understanding and considerate of clients needs. Attorney was very approachable and there was a very good comfort level.” – J.R.

“Professional & Informative” – J.H.

“Professional & informative... I was pleased with the handling of the case. I was treated as a person, and kept abreast of all aspects of the case. Thank you all.” – J.H.

“Thanks So Much” – J.D.

“Without your Firm, I would not have known of my claim. Thanks so much!” – J.D.

“Gets Results” – F.P.

“Most certainly – The firm gets results!” – F.P.

“Quite Satisfied” – R.W.

“I am quite satisfied with the services your office has provided.” – R.W.

“Exceptional Legal Services” – A.S.

“Your firm, Tully Rinckey, has provided and continues to provide me with prompt, keen, exceptional legal services. After the initial consult, I felt relieved that I had the representation from Tully Rinckey... I have found a new found hope with Tully Rinckey...” – A.S.

“Absolute Best” – R.H.

“My attorney was the absolute best I could ever have hoped for. A pure professional in every sense of the word. She was very mindful of my financial expenditure and still was able to represent me very well in my court case.” – R.H.

“Outstanding Service” – A.R.

“Outstanding service, true professional.” – A.R.

“Top Notch” – V.W.

“My attorney was top notch. Very pleasant and helpful.” – V.W.

“Ideal Choice” – D.H.

“My attorney knew exactly the approach to take and was the ideal choice. She was extremely responsive. She was clear, balanced, and open to our views and feelings. She made it clear she was there for us and ready to answer questions.” – D.H.

“Very Satisfied” – D.D.

“I was very satisfied with the services provided which were completed in a very timely and professional manner.” – D.D.

“Excellent” – B.M.

“Your Firm provides excellent & free service to federal workers and NG/USAR members.” – B.M.